

CIL Governance Appendix A – CIL Prioritisation Scoring Matrix

Category	Criteria	Response	Score	
Council Priority	Does the project contribute to the Council's Statutory Obligations	Yes	10	
		No	0	
Council compliance / corporate fit	Is the project consistent with other strategic plans (Local Transport Plan, LEP Growth Plan)?	Yes	10	Non-consistency risks non-approval and resource wastage.
Funding	Does the project attract match funding	No	0	Match Funding is simply leveraging a financial commitment towards the cost of the project from a source other than and in addition to CIL funding. Additional funding can make a project more deliverable and appealing.
		1% - 20%	1	
		21% - 40%	2	
		41% - 60%	3	
		61% - 80%	4	
		81% - 100%	5	
	Is there an additional funding requirement for maintenance of the project?	No	5	Maintenance costs to maintain a project following completion can be significant, for instance in public realm instances. If additional on-going maintenance is required it is unlikely that the Council would be able to fund this out of its own reserves
		Yes - Moderate	3	
		Yes - Significant	0	

Category	Criteria	Response	Score	
Deliverability	Can the infrastructure be delivered now?	No – significant issue	0	Significant issues could include; landownership issues, remediation issues, reliant on other projects, reliant on other partners, not consistent with planning policy
		No – minor issues	3	Minor issues could include any of the above but they are surmountable
		Yes	5	There would be no issues with land ownership or constraints, the project would be consistent with policy and ideally have planning consent.
Wider Community Benefits and implications	Are there any foreseeable risks or negative impacts which may arise from the project?	No	0	These risks could include the Council’s Corporate Plan not being met; closure of local facilities; reduced or interrupted access to facilities or open space; loss of open or amenity space etc.
		Minor Risk	3	Consultation with the wider community including ‘hard to reach groups’ is important to ensure that the potential project is serving the needs of the community and they are aware of how they can input into the project preparation or implementation.
		Yes	5	Wider community benefits could include Safer Routes to Schools; pedestrian crossings; speed humps; public amenity space; better access; enhanced community facilities etc.
	Has the project already benefited from discussions with the local community?	Yes	5	Consultation with the wider community including ‘hard to reach groups’ is important to ensure that the potential project is serving the needs of the community and they are aware of how they can input into the project preparation or implementation
		No	0	
	Will the implementation of project create new local	Yes	5	Wider community benefits could include Safer Routes to Schools; pedestrian crossings; speed humps; public

Category	Criteria	Response	Score	
	jobs or wider community benefits?			amenity space; better access; enhanced community facilities etc.
		No	0	
	Is there evidence to show that the project is meeting a local need or demand?	Yes	5	It should be demonstrated that the project is fulfilling a need or demand and where possible that this is for the benefit of the wider community and not a select few, for example multi-use community centres
		No	0	
	Does the proposal have a positive impact on equality?	yes	5	Does the proposal help to tackle discrimination or disadvantage, advance equality of opportunity or foster good relations between different protected groups? (i.e. on the basis of age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy & maternity, race, religion or belief, sex or sexual orientation)
		No	0	